

The Patriots' Truth

Flint Hills TEA Party News

See us at McALISTER'S DELI, EVERY WED after 5:30pm ---- *Everyone is welcome, we ARE the Working Group. WELCOME! For monthly meetings, contact Chuck – cehenderson@cox.net or 785-236-1286. Now that the KSU home football games are over, we will get back to the 2nd Sat. of the month meetings at the Manhattan Public Library, 9:30am. Always interesting & informative, join us!! **Next meeting: February 9 – 9:30AM, Manhattan City Library!!** We are working with several State Legislatures to accomplish our goals for the year – basically to enforce Amendment II and Amendment X. Come to our meetings, join our discussions, express YOUR concerns and views, help US all help each other with everyone's concerns. We have made headway!! We MUST keep the momentum rolling to bring our USA back to the Constitution, "We the People", and GOD!!!!*

Rove Declares War on Tea Party

Posted on February 3, 2013

The battle for the heart and soul of the Republican Party has begun. On one side is the Tea Party. On the other side stands Karl Rove and his establishment team, posing as tacticians while quietly undermining conservatism. Yesterday, the New York Times reported that the "biggest donors in the Republican Party" have joined forces with Karl Rove and Steven J. Law, president of American Crossroads, to create the Conservative Victory Project. The Times reports that this new group will dedicate itself to "recruit seasoned candidates and protect Senate incumbents from challenges by far-right conservatives and Tea Party enthusiasts who Republican leaders worry could complicate the party's effort to win control of the Senate." The group points to candidates like Christine O'Donnell in Delaware and Richard Mourdock in Indiana as examples of Tea Party primary picks going sideways in major Senatorial battles.

Read more: <http://conservativebyte.com/2013/02/rove-declares-war-on-tea-party/#ixzz2JtGz5HF7>

Obama to Build Himself Second Oval Office

This summer there will be two Oval Offices in the White House complex, and it won't be a case of double vision.

In preparation for a major, two-year renovation of the West Wing, the government is undertaking extensive work to complete a new executive office for President Obama at the south end of the adjacent Eisenhower Executive Office Building, RCP has learned.

The president's facsimile Oval Office, created as a nearly identical replica of the most famous ovoid room in the world, is slated to be ready for occupancy by August if Obama is ready to move and if design challenges are resolved. The build-out of the new "West Wing quarters" inside the Eisenhower building has begun, but unforeseen construction hurdles may alter plans for the eventual placement of the new office inside the EEOB, according to several knowledgeable sources.

The timing of Obama's move to a substitute executive suite is in part dependent on the president's readiness to begin working in the temporary quarters for what could be as long as two years, sources told RCP. The West Wing phase of a larger, **\$376 million project** begun in September 2010 was put on pause through last year's election, although funding and contracts were ready, the sources said. If Mitt Romney had won in November, Obama would have handed decisions about whether and how to proceed with the rehab project to his successor, they added.

Since the Oval Office was added to the White House in 1909 (during the Taft administration), decades of repairs, redecoration and technological add-ons have been layered atop an antique foundation — meaning that other presidents have been inconvenienced in this fashion.

Herbert Hoover, for example, had to make significant repairs after an electrical fire in 1929, and relocated for a brief time across the street to the secretary of the Navy's office. Sources described how Obama's West Wing rehab will tackle a down-to-the-studs overhaul of America's iconic seat of power.

Though Obama won't be the first modern president to work in an executive suite nearby, he has described the Oval Office as a "surprisingly comfortable" place in which to get real work done, and he lauds his 70-yard walk from home to office as one of the perks of his position.

Read more: <http://MinuteMenNews.com/2013/02/obama-to-build-himself-second-oval-office/#ixzz2JtUHm2ng>

Illegal is NOT a Race – It's a CRIME

by Rev. Larry Wallenmeyer, Admin II from Patriot Action Network

Why Our Fight Is So Hard by a teacher in the classroom

Below are but 2 of many actual Classroom Resources used IN the classrooms of the school district I work for, I include links to many other "Classroom Resources." I post them word-for-word, my comments are in RED []

Part 1.

A student **cannot** be denied enrollment due to her **citizenship** or **immigration** status or that of her parents.

[Why? Why is it ok to allow people who are in America ILLEGALLY to take full advantage of OUR education system, but legal U.S. Citizens are increasingly having their traditional, values taken from them and forbidden?]

A student **cannot** be denied enrollment due to the use of a foreign birth certificate.

[Why? Why are hard-left Marxists so bent on making OUR tax-funded schools tailored to every language, culture and religion everywhere else in the world **except for the one that MADE America what it was?**]

A student **cannot** be denied enrollment based on national origin.

[Why is it so "bad" to require that people using OUR benefits, including "free" education, NOT be ILLEGALS?]

A student **cannot** be denied enrollment because the student (or the student's parent or guardian) chooses **not** to provide a social security number.

[What? "Chooses" not to? Don't you mean CAN'T?]

A student or the student's parent or guardian shall **not** be required to provide a passport as a form of identification or for any other reason.

[Oh no... we can't have that! We might find out that "Johnny-Jihad's" dad might be planning to bring a bomb for "Show-and-Tell"!]]

A student's immigration or citizenship status is **not relevant** to establishing residency within the district, and **no inquiries** shall be made of a student or the student's parent or guardian as to that status.

["Not relevant"?!! "To establishing residency"? Why, of course one can see how **asking questions about one's LEGAL STATUS WOULD BE A DAMPER** on your hard-left Marxist designs to water-down and weaken America from within.]]

Part 2.

We **do not allow** English language supports to replace challenging and mainstream curriculum.

[Why nooo, we won't pull the students who CAN NOT speak English OUT of Regular Classrooms to **TEACH them English**. No. That would make sense. Nope. We, by design, are going to water-down, slow-down and LOWER the expectations of ALL classrooms by having them peopled with "students" who speak Nepalese, Burmese, Chinese, Korean, Spanish, Farsi, Arabic... Yep. Now we **dare** YOUR star child to succeed in this classroom!]

We reach out to families **to learn from them** how best **to meet the needs of their children**.

[**Ummm**, how about simply TEACHING! You know, TEACH math, phonics, reading, ENGLISH, English Literature, American History (that's NOT been re-written), real science...you know, a REAL education.]]

We **engage in problem-solving** around issues of ethnic discrimination and inequities.

[Translated: We will divide everyone into separate groups. Promote their culture as vastly superior to **The American Culture, and demean all things America/American.**]

We have a shared commitment to diversity.

[Notice the commitment is **TO** diversity. There is NO desire to acclimate all the foreign cultures and languages

INTO an **American one**. Why? Because America is evil doncha know?]

We have a well-defined plan for engaging non-English speaking families.

[I bet you do...]




We honor and make use of students' native languages.

[Because, Heaven forbid they learn English and how to become **SUCCESSFUL** in **OUR** culture.... nooooo, we can't have that.]

We respect people of **different** backgrounds.

[Well, except for Christians, Jews, The Wealthy, people from European nations (who aren't Marxist), and if you are a wealthy, white, person who is a Jew or Christian and **NOT** at all Marxist...well, we don't respect you at all.]

President Barack Obama Prepares for 2013 Super Bowl

 Like  +1  0

BY ALEX KAY (FEATURED COLUMNIST) ON FEBRUARY 3, 2013

1,454 reads 2 


Use your ← → (arrow) keys to browse more stories

The **Super Bowl** is the biggest sporting spectacle in the United States, so it should come as no surprise that President Barack Obama is ready for the big game on Sunday night.

In a picture found on his **Twitter feed**, Obama can be seen in his business casual wear, getting ready to throw the

pigskin around the White House hours prior to the game's 6:30 p.m. ET kickoff time.



Barack Obama 

@BarackObama

 Follow

Game day. pic.twitter.com/cHiJ9DZB

4:15 PM - 03 Feb 13

Clinton's concussion, Obama's skeet shooting and the limits of skepticism

Posted by Erik Wemple on February 4, 2013 at 3:30 pm



Take a good look at these two pictures. These are pictures of a lying imposter who will do ANYTHING to look good to the public. Here he is pretending skeet shooting and football are his every day hobbies. I guess that could be the case, however, if it is why, in all the time we have had him in our face, haven't we heard of it before these hobbies fit the day. The only hobby I've heard of previously is GOLF. HELLO!!! We all know Barack Obama will do/say whatever will make him look good - get him votes/popularity. He wants us to think he UNDERSTANDS us - no way, he has never held a 'real' job, never had to live on a real budget, and he thinks he knows it all. Having grown up in and being a citizen of a foreign country leaves him way out in the dark on how Americans feel and want to live. Take heart, Kansans, the passing of Bill HB 2199 in the 2013 Legislative Session will let all of the USA understand where Kansans stand. Contact your Legislator and ask them to vote for HB 2199 so Kansans can be as free of the Federal Government as possible!!! Find your KS Legislators in the Directory, here:

<http://www.kssos.org/elections/13elec/2013LegislativeDirectory.pdf>

If you don't have this ability at home go to your local library with this address - they will be very happy to help you!! When the Bill is passed you need to once again contact your Legislator and Thank Them - this is one of the most important Bills to go thru our Legislation. When our employees do a good job they need to know we appreciate them. If you need my help or Chuck's contact us - we are here to keep you informed.

This is Congressman Tim Huelskamp Newsletter of February 4, 2013

Dear Friend,

There is enormous pressure to vote a certain way in Washington.

Insider politicians, liberal media types, powerful lobbyists, and highly paid political consultants - often times even of both parties - have demanded that we should abandon our core conservative principles.

We cannot abandon the fundamental principles that blessed and built our nation.

Whether it is fighting big government, demanding a balanced budget, following the Constitution, cutting wasteful spending, protecting life and marriage and religious liberty, none of these principles can be compromised for political expediency!

But as you may know, standing up to the way things have "always been done in Washington" doesn't come without political and personal and family cost. Like you, I know that doing the right thing is too important to abandon even in the face of these costs.

And occasionally, others may notice our perseverance and grittiness in the fight for America's future - even if they may disagree with our principles.

But I must admit, I was completely surprised to learn a few days ago that I was recognized as "**One of Washington's Ten Toughest Politicians**". Please [CLICK HERE](#) to read the article.

If I'm one of the "toughest politicians" in Washington, it's because you strengthen me with your encouragement, prayers, and financial support.

Thank you for standing with me in our effort to defend America's future. Every email, every phone call, every personal visit with our elected leaders at all levels of government makes a huge difference. Every discussion with a friend or fellow worker or letter to your local newspaper or blog post spreads the word.

Keep it up!

Yours in Liberty,

Tim Huelskamp

Member of Congress

We all need to Thank Congressman Huelskamp for sticking to his principles and what he told us he would do if we elected him to Congress. He has stood his ground at great career cost to himself – because HE SAID HE WOULD. He NEEDS to know that we appreciate his loyalty to us and to himself. He is the only member of Congress from Kansas that did keep their word to their constituents and he should know we are glad we have him there.

The Case for Repeal of Green Energy Standard from Charlotte O'Hara on February 4, 2013

Dear Friends,

The following is testimony on SB 82 that I will be giving to the Utilities' committee Feb. 5th to encourage the committee to recommend the **repeal** of Kansas Renewable Portfolio Standards or renewable energy requirements for "green" energy.

From 1990 until 2007 KCP&L customers in Kansas enjoyed stable electric rates with two rate decreases; 4.7% in March 1999 and a 3.2% Jan. 2003. This era of stability and declining energy costs abruptly changed beginning in Dec., 2007.

In the past 5 years KCP&L has requested and received a cumulative 39.55% of rate increases, with an actual **cost increase in excess of 42%**. Costs of required transmission lines and the costs of the gas fired plants built in North Central Kansas as a rapid response backup to wind farms will continue to put upward pressure on our electric rates.

My husband calculated what a 42% rate increase would have meant for his injection molding plant. His electric bill was \$10,000.00 a month, with this 42% increase his monthly electric bill would have exploded to over \$14,200.00 or the cost of 2 employees. Multiply this across the State of Kansas and you are left to wonder how much damage these sky rocketing electric rates have done to our Kansas economy.

What has driven the explosion in costs? The answer is simply EPA over-reaching regulations and the self-imposed state Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS). In 2009 Kansas passed the current RPS requiring 20% of our electric generating capacity to come from renewables by 2020. Reasons why good solid free market conservative legislators strayed from conservative principles in 2009 were assurances the Holcomb Plant would receive permission to build and renewables were a necessary component of energy independence.

Neither reason for passage of RPS has stood the test of time. **Four years down the road accompanied by a 42% increase in electric rates the Holcomb Plant expansion, scaled back to one 895 Mega Watt plant in 2009, continues to be mired in court**, which according to the Sunflower contract if denied or modified will terminate the contract; the reason RPS were passed by the Kansas Legislature will be null and

void. Meanwhile innovations (fracking) within the oil and gas industries have increased oil and gas production to the point the U.S. in the near future will be an energy exporter.

In this energy boom North Dakota has been catapulted to record growth and enjoys the lowest unemployment rate in the nation. The Chase Economic Forecast states, "North Dakota was untouched by the national recession and now is on a tear." This study goes on to show North Dakota's GDP through the 3rd quarter of 2012 was over 40% higher than Kansas GDP. Please note North Dakota's economic growth is based on carbon-based energy, not pie in the sky alternative fuel schemes that have been put forth and implemented here in Kansas as economic development projects.

Additionally our high-energy costs are undercutting Kansas' competitive edge in attracting businesses. **Industrial electric rates in Kansas are 36% higher than Oklahoma, 46% higher than Louisiana, 29% higher than Iowa and unbelievably higher than the State of New York!**

I appreciate the Senate Utilities Committee's goal of mitigating the damage created by Kansas RPS requirements by extending the time-line for utilities in SB 82 to attain the 20% mandate. However, only by repealing our RPS will the necessary message be sent: Kansas, a free market state, no longer will use artificial, costly mandates; instead the market will determine how our power plants should be fueled, not politicians.

Currently thirteen states have no mandates and six have voluntary "goals".

In our West North Central Region, Kansas has the highest electric rates, over 10% higher than Nebraska and South Dakota with no RPS and 17% higher than North Dakota with voluntary goals. To blunt the argument states must have RPS in order to construct fossil fuel plants please note the John W. Turk Jr. coal-fired power plant opened December, 2012 in Arkansas, one of the thirteen states with no RPS.

To further make this point in Texas, a RPS state, two coal fired power plants slated for construction were abandoned: December, 2012 an 800 MW unit at the Limestone power and January 2013 the \$3 billion Las Brisas project on the Texas Gulf Coast.

Our Renewable Portfolio Standards are a failure. They have resulted in higher energy costs, have not secured the permit to build Holcomb and are not the answer to our energy independence. It is time to recognize this failure and repeal the RPS, become the 14th state without RPS and free our state from these crippling, costly artificial energy mandates. With a repeal of our Renewable Portfolio Standards Kansas will be open for business.

Note: To contact Senator Pat Apple, Chair of Utilities Committee, his office number is 785-296-7368. This is an important issue; let Senator Apple know your opinion. The only way to change the way Topeka works is more people being involved to have their voices heard.

Please hold all of the Representatives and Senators in your prayers as they continue their legislative work for all Kansans.

Respectfully yours,

Charlotte O'Hara

Sources for my testimony:

<https://www.chase.com/online/commercial-bank/.../NorthDakota.pdf>

<https://www.chase.com/online/commercial-bank/.../Kansas.pdf>

<http://www.kcpl.com/about/historytable.htm>

http://www.eia.gov/electricity/monthly/epm_table_grapher.cfm?t=epmt_5_06_b

<http://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.cfm?id=4850>

National Sheriffs Assoc. Encourages Sheriffs to Commit "treason to the Constitution"

The National Sheriffs Association (NSA) and its President are calling for sheriffs to commit treason to the Constitution! It's outrageous!

The NSA issued a press release on 2/1/13, "NSA Position Statement on Gun Control." The shocking document is not so much about gun control as the NSA's philosophy on the duties of a sheriff.

It is a finely crafted "political statement" in which they say all the right things people want to hear about the Second Amendment. But, it also includes certain philosophies that the average citizen will gloss over but that are even more important than its statement on gun control. In fact the philosophies neutralize their statements in support of the Second Amendment.

According to the NSA sheriffs are to enforce whatever laws are passed by Congress and leave it up to the

federal courts to decide if such laws are Constitutional. It's an **abuse now, apologize later** policy.

It also shows a lack of understanding of how our government works. The lower federal courts have no authority over the states and a state has concurrent power to interpret the Constitution and federal law.

It is in absolute contradiction of the sheriffs' duties under Article VI clause 2 and 3. This Article establishes that not every federal law is supreme but that only federal laws passed "in pursuance" of the Constitution is the "Law of the Land." The last clause of Article VI requires sheriffs to take an oath to protect the Constitution.

Together these clauses require all state and federal, legislative, judicial and executive officers (including sheriffs) to have a working knowledge of the Constitution. It also establishes an active, affirmative and personal duty on their part to protect the Constitution. One cannot protect the Constitution if they do not know what it says and means. Nor can they protect the Constitution by enforcing laws contrary to it or sitting on the sideline while others do so. Again the duty is active and affirmative.

This is exactly the position taken by the NSA. It says, "... Sheriffs do not possess the legal authority to interpret the constitutionality of any law..."

Yes they must blindly enforce any law that comes down the pike according to the NSA, like a bunch of robotic cops or well-trained apes. These are the kind of guys that would warm the hearts of any segregationist or slave owner trying to get the return of a slave from a "free state." In fact this was the defense used at the Nuremberg trials following WWII, "I was only following orders." It did not work there and we should not accept it here.

This type of an attitude works great for those who want a Gestapo or a KGB but it ill serves a free Constitutional Republic.

In 1821 Chief Justice Marshall said that to accept authority one did not have under the constitution or to refuse authority one did have was "treason to the Constitution". *Cohen v. Virginia*.

It's not just our kids that have been dummed down. Thank God for the efforts of the Constitutional Sheriffs and Police Officers Association and Sheriff Richard Mack for their efforts to re-educate our country's law enforcement officers on their constitutional duties. The Oath Keepers are doing the same for our military.

NSA goes on to say, "... *sheriffs strongly support our citizens' protected right to bear arms under the Second Amendment...*" They then compound this erroneous statement by saying "... the National Sheriffs' Association supports the **rights conferred by the Second Amendment ...**"

The Second Amendment does not give citizens a right to "keep and bear arms." None of the Bill of Rights "confers" any right what-so-ever!

The Second Amendment simply tells the feds they "shall not infringe" such pre-constitutional God given inalienable right. There is quite a difference in the two. It amazes me that profession law enforcement officers who are under oath to the Constitution do not have even a fundamental grasp of what it means.

A major message by the Framers was that it was dangerous to our Liberty to have a mass of folks running around who were armed and either did not support our Constitution and its foundational principles (hence the Article VI oath) or who did not know them (like foreign troops).

Think about this. Under the NSA philosophy if the federal government gives the order to take away our guns, either through a Feinstein bill or an Obama Executive Order, the members of the NSA see it as their duty to enforce (and help?) such a law until such time as a federal court tells them they may not do so. Including appeals that could take years.

The NSA does not recognize a duty to only enforce constitutional laws or apparently to even know the Constitution. We should call these people "Supremacy Freaks".

I suppose if there is a disaster in your area and the military move in and direct the NSA member sheriffs to collect citizens' guns they will feel compelled to oblige, such as happen in New Orleans, Louisiana and Greenburg, Kansas.

Kind of makes you feel warm and fuzzy doesn't it?

For the sake of Liberty,

Richard D. Fry

November Patriots

Patriot Coalition

Notes: 1. Todd C. Frankel, Gun control debate reveals rift among nation's sheriffs, *St. Louis Post – Dispatch* (2/4/13) http://www.stltoday.com/news/local/metro/gun-control-debate-reveals-rift-among-nation-s-sheriffs/article_8a68c343-88d0-52bo-87d6-380486b5835f.html

2. <http://www.sheriffs.org/> NSA Position Statement on Gun Control

Sheriff Denning update: Request sent for clarification on gun control January 23, 2013. No response yet received. When our servants treat us as *their* servants, something is very wrong. Last week they said they would NOT support unconstitutional laws. This sounds to me like they are changing their minds. Guess each of us will have to visit with our local Sheriff and see where they stand; then pray we never need have to put them to the test. Please, GOD, be with us in the days to come!!

Frankly Speaking by Frank F. Clark

The other day, I watched the address given at the Inaugural Prayer Breakfast. The keynote speaker was Rabbi Jonathan Cahn. I was pleased to see that he had been chosen, because I have listened to and read his work on the Isaiah 9:10 Scripture. This address was just as important.

The Rabbi opened the message by saying the primary purpose of the breakfast was to come before the Lord Almighty, because this is the day of the Inauguration of the President of the United States. He said that they were here to pray to the God who does not change and before whom nations rise and fall. They come before Him to pray for America just as men and women, leaders and Kings have done before us in troubled times. "But how do we do that? How do we pray for this nation?"

Jonathan then goes on to explain that we need to pray according to God's will. If someone needs healing, we don't pray that he will get riches. We pray for his healing. "If a city is about to be destroyed, we don't pray with flowery words. We sound the alarm." Then he said that his message now will not be politically correct, nor will it be political.

Jonathan said that, because America had been dedicated to the Lord at its founding, God has blessed it with peace, protection and prosperity beyond any nation in history. But now, America has fallen away from God and exchanged Him for gods of materialism, sensuality, wealth, carnality and sexual promiscuity. We have abandoned the laws of God and now call evil good and good evil. Now we celebrate immorality instead of righteousness. This nation is now at war with the very foundations on which it was established. We need to pray for her according to God's Word.

Rabbi Cahn then talked about the fact that we have legalized the killing of our unborn children. He compared that with ancient Israel's worship of the gods of Moloch and Asherah. The Israelites used to sacrifice their children to these gods in order to justify their sin and receive blessing from these gods. Then he said that even a thousand apostate ministers swearing on their Bibles will not change one jot or tittle of the Word of God.

After reviewing several of the points concerning God's impending judgment recounted in his book *The Harbinger*, Jonathan pointed out that we need to pray according to the Word of God and then repent of our sin. He ended by focusing on 2 Chronicles 7:14. "If my people, who are called by my name, will humble themselves and pray and seek my face and turn from their wicked ways, then will I hear from heaven and will forgive their sin and will heal their land."

As I have talked to a number of folks in the area, I generally get one of three responses. The first one says that they don't care about what is being said. The second group says that they don't believe him, because God doesn't speak like that through a man today. The last group, so far the smallest group, hears what is said and is moved to action. They begin to pray and then begin tell others.

It is my hope that the readers of this column will become part of the third group, because Jesus is the same yesterday, today and forever. He does not change. Therefore, unless we repent and change our ways as Nineveh did, the judgment of God will result just as it did with Israel.

Attitude

by Charles Swindoll

"The longer I live, the more I realize the impact of attitude on life. Attitude, to me, is more important than facts. It is more important than the past, than education, than money, than circumstances, than failures, than successes, than what other people think or say or do. It is more important than appearance, giftedness or skill. It will

make or break a company...a church...a home. The remarkable thing is we have a choice every day regarding the attitude we will embrace for that day, We cannot change our past...we cannot change the fact that people will act in a certain way. We cannot change the inevitable. The only thing we can do is play-on the one thing we have, and that is our attitude...I am convinced that life is 10% what happens to me and 90% how I react to it. And so it is with you...we are in charge of our ATTITUDES."

On January 16 a group of us attended a Sovereignty Dinner in Topeka, where a gentleman, Aaron Jack, spoke to the group. Mr. Jack, who is running for Insurance Commissioner in the 2014 elections, visited us at the FHTP meeting at McAlister's. He is working to have a bill he wrote passed in the Kansas Legislature during the 2013 session. This is a bill to protect investments made by Kansans in Kansas from the Federal Government takeover. Please read the following & if you have questions check out the sites at the bottom. Let your State Representative & Senator know if you would like to see this Bill become law. It does NOT have a number now just refer to it as "The Economic Freedom Amendment"..

The Economic Freedom Amendment -- A States-Based Response to Nationalization and Bailouts -- Proposed Amendment to the Kansas Constitution:

"Any transfer to the United States, or any entity controlled by the United States, of any ownership interest in any entity formed pursuant to the laws of this state shall be prohibited, provided, the foregoing prohibition shall not apply to any investments through pension funds operated by the United States or any entity controlled by the United States."

The Problem: The 2008 financial crisis and federal bailout led to the de facto nationalization of several state-incorporated companies. Starting with the September 2008 bailout of insurance giant AIG, the federal government acquired stock in a multitude of private companies, including several Kansas financial companies, and became the controlling shareholder in some of the nation's largest auto manufacturing and financial companies (i.e. Chrysler, Citigroup, etc.). In its newfound role as controlling shareholder of private enterprise, the federal government pressured these companies to take actions that are not intended to maximize shareholder value. Moreover, the federal government's sovereign immunity prevents minority shareholders from suing the government in shareholder suits. As a result, it will be more difficult for minority shareholders in these circumstances to protect their investment. This level of entanglement between the federal government and private industry is unprecedented in the United States.

The Solution: The overall policy of the amendment proposed above is based on fostering state and national markets in which clear rules of law govern the conduct of competing entities. A state ban on the transfer of stock in companies to the federal government would slow the nationalizing and market-distorting effects of the bailout policies of the federal government during the past several years. The proposed amendment would protect non-government shareholders in these companies from being exposed to the unique risks created when the federal government becomes a controlling shareholder of private companies. The proposed amendment will not prevent all types of future market intervention by the federal government, but it will prevent the most egregious form of market intervention— federal acquisition of equity stakes in state-incorporated companies. As a result, the proposed amendment realigns state and federal economic policies with our founding principles by limiting the federal government to its proper role as a neutral regulator rather than a vested owner of private enterprise. More information: <http://www.ksc.ks.gov/CivicAlerts.aspx?AID=42>
<http://www.ksc.ks.gov/CivicAlerts.aspx?AID=45>

**Taken from Congresswoman Lynn Jenkins Weekly Update - Sequester News; PLAN Act; and More on February 8, 2013
No Time to Delay on Spending Reforms**

On Tuesday, President Obama asked Congress to pass a short-term package of spending cuts and new tax increases to delay the across-the-board spending cuts, known as the "sequester," beyond the March

1 deadline set by the fiscal cliff deal.

The Sequester was the president's idea, and veteran journalist Bob Woodward has proved this [in his latest book](#). The president insisted these spending cuts, both domestic and defense, be a part of the debt-limit deal in 2011. Congress reluctantly agreed, and the president signed the bill defining the sequester as a dollar-for-dollar consequence if the Super committee failed to identify adequate spending cuts to deal with our debt and deficit problem.

Across-the-board cuts are not an ideal way to reduce spending, which is why I supported two different House bills ([H.R. 6365](#) and [H.R. 5652](#)) to replace the sequester with more thoughtful spending reductions. It is time for the Senate to consider the plans already on the table. The president already got more than \$1 trillion in higher taxes through his healthcare law and the fiscal cliff deal, and in spite of my opposition, taxes have gone up on everyone, even hardworking middle-class American families.

Higher taxes were never a part of the equation when the president sold the bill to the American people, and they do very little to solve our spending problem. Going back on our promise, and displacing already agreed-upon spending cuts with additional tax increases, should be considered a disingenuous attempt to mislead the American public.

I do not like the specific cuts in the sequester, but I am not about to break my word to see promised spending cuts or reforms become a reality. I encourage the president to work with Congress on a long-term budget plan and shift his continued focus from raising taxes to creating economic growth and jobs. It is time to get serious and put the American people first, not politics.

Americans Deserve a PLAN

On Wednesday, I voted for the "[Require a PLAN Act](#)," to require the president to submit a proposal to Congress that will balance the budget in 10 years, and if it does not, to outline when and how the budget should be balanced. The bill passed the U.S. House of Representatives by a bipartisan vote of 253-167.

The 'Require a PLAN Act' was another sure step forward by requiring not just a budget, but a responsible plan that actually fixes our nation's unsustainable spending problem. We must put an end to the short-term gimmicks and campaign rhetoric. It is time everyone, the House, the Senate, and the White House, work together to put serious proposals on the table to balance the budget.

If government spending was the key to economic growth and job creation, our post-stimulus economy would be booming right now. Instead, there are still more than 12 million Americans unemployed today, parents are taking home lower wages to support their children, and families are paying more for everything from gas to groceries. This is unacceptable. Americans deserve a plan.

Watch my comments supporting the "Require a PLAN Act" on the [House floor here](#) or at the House Republican Leadership [press conference here](#).

"I regret, as much as any member, the unavoidable weight and duration of the burdens to be imposed; having never been a proselyte to the doctrine, that public debts are public benefits. I consider them, on the contrary, as evils which ought to be removed as fast as honor and justice will permit."

--James Madison, Debates in the House of Representatives on the First Report on Public Credit, 1790

Hmmmmmm - too bad today's President doesn't feel that way!! Would sure make a difference!!!

Obama: I'm Not Done Raising Taxes by Philip Hodges on Feb 5, 2013

In an interview with CBS that aired while most Americans were watching some big game they call the "Super Bowl," Obama reiterated that he wants to [raise additional revenue](#) by closing "loopholes and deductions":

"Well, I don't think the issue right now is raising rates. The question is, if we're going to be serious about reducing our deficit, can we combine some smart spending cuts, 'cause there's still some waste in government; can we reform our health care programs in particular, because we spend a lot more on health care than every other country does, and we don't get better outcomes, so there's a lot of waste in the system, and there are things that we can do to reduce health care costs; and **can we close some loopholes and deductions** that folks who are well-connected and have a lot of accountants and lawyers can take advantage of, so they end up paying lower rates than a bus driver or a cop, can we close some of those loopholes?"

"If we combine those things together, then we can not only reduce our deficit, but we can continue to

invest in things like education and research and development that are going to help us grow...without raising rates again.”

“There is no doubt **we need additional revenue** coupled with smart spending reductions in order to bring down our deficit, and we can do it in a gradual way so that it doesn’t have a huge impact.”

First of all, he says, “*If* we’re going to be serious about reducing our deficit...” Well, they’re not serious, so everything after that conditional phrase is irrelevant. They don’t even acknowledge that they have a spending problem. Obama’s buddy Paul Krugman said [there is no debt problem](#), nor is there a deficit problem. In fact, during this sluggish economy, it’s wise to run a deficit and increase spending.

Their thinking is that if they decrease spending, that would only contribute to unemployment because of all the government jobs that would be eliminated. So they have to find ways to *expand* government, thereby creating jobs for people who lost their private sector jobs (most likely because of government regulations and a government-created depression).

He wants to close loopholes and deductions that allow those “rich tax cheats” to dodge higher taxes. He said that it’s not fair that a bus driver has to pay a higher tax rate than a rich guy who has “connections” to good tax accountants. But Obama should be happy that he’s helped create a whole industry of accountants whose job it is to find ways for people to avoid paying higher taxes. That’s creating jobs.

But Obama doesn’t want those kinds of jobs. He wants people working government jobs, and once you become a prominent government worker or politician, *then* you can become a tax cheat (like Tim Geithner).

Later in the interview, Obama lamented that these rich people dodge the tax system by keeping their money in offshore bank accounts in the Cayman Islands. Well, Romney isn’t the only one with money in the Cayman Islands. Obama does too. So, what Obama really meant to say was that we need to demand that the rich pay their fair share *unless* that rich person works for the government (and preferably a Democrat) or a corporation that makes large contributions to Obama and his buddies.

Read more: <http://godfatherpolitics.com/9320/obama-im-not-done-raising-taxes/#ixzz2KZW1cuEq>

I’m sure President Obama is right – he is NOT done raising taxes – He’ll NEVER be done raising taxes. Why can’t he and those that follow him understand that there is a limit to what the citizens that pay their taxes have to give? When we have given all we have and have no more then what is he going to do? How will the country continue to function? The answer to that is simple – it won’t!! What will he be President of then?

SEE THE PRAYER BREAKFAST SPEECH THAT’S GRABBING HEADLINES: DOCTOR ATTACKS POLITICAL CORRECTNESS, NATIONAL DEBT IN FRONT OF OBAMA

by Billy Hallowell on Feb. 7, 2013 at 11:39am – from The Blaze

[Dr. Benjamin Carson delivered a noteworthy National Prayer Breakfast keynote speech in President Barack Obama’s presence.](#)

[Carson attacked political correctness as a “dangerous” threat to free speech and encouraged Americans to boldly share their views.](#)

[The pediatric neurosurgeon also provided his theories about the national debt, deficits, taxation and health care, taking stances that were opposed to the president’s.](#)

[Obama watched intently as Carson spoke for more than 25 minutes.](#)

One of [the more unique speeches](#) delivered at this morning’s National Prayer Breakfast came from [Dr. Benjamin S. Carson](#), a world-renowned pediatric neurosurgeon at Johns Hopkins Hospital. Taking the stage before President Barack Obama’s [faith-filled address](#), Carson spoke for more than 25 minutes, tackling issues ranging from education to personal responsibility. His keynote, while predicated upon the theme of Jesus Christ as his ultimate role model, also took a starkly political tone, advocating against some of the very policies the president has implemented.

At the beginning of his speech, Carson shared an intense disdain for political correctness. Without getting too specific on the issue front, he said that Americans should stop being afraid to speak up and defend their beliefs; he also encouraged people to respect the individuals they disagree with. Carson held little back, condemning political correctness as “a horrible thing” that is “dangerous,” as it hampers freedom of thought and expression.

“We’ve reached a point where people are actually afraid to talk about what they want to say, because somebody might be offended,” Carson said, noting the example of people refraining from saying “Merry Christmas.” “We’ve got to get over this sensitivity and it keeps people from saying what they really believe.”

Carson encouraged discussion about societal issues, also pointing out education as an essential

conundrum the country needs to confront. He highlighted his own path from poverty to success, sharing very personal details about his parents and early family life and subsequently described the importance of helping students seeking to advance academically through his **Carson Scholars Fund**.

The speech took an interesting turn when the doctor cautioned that moral decay and fiscal irresponsibility can have dire consequences — even for powerful countries like America. Here, he became even more pointed and impassioned.

“I think particularly about ancient Rome. Very powerful — nobody could even challenge them militarily...they destroyed themselves from within,” Carson continued. “Moral decay. Fiscal irresponsibility.”

While he said America’s issues are dire, he was positive that the nation can fix its ways, as there are bright and innovative people who simply need to come together to address the problems at hand.

“And one of our big problems right now...our deficit is a big problem,” he said, as Obama watched him intently. “Think about it — and our national debt — \$16 and a half trillion dollars.”

To illustrate just how massive the debt is, Carson told the audience that if they counted one number per second, it would take them 507,000 years to get to the sum total. The doctor said that the massive fiscal blunder is something that the nation must contend with. From there, he moved on to taxation, seemingly taking a stance in direct opposition to Obama’s.

“What about our taxation system — so complex there is no one that can possibly comply with every jot and tittle,” he noted, going on to call for a simpler (i.e. flat tax) system. “When I pick up my Bible, you know what I see — I see the fairest individual in the universe — God — and he’s given us a system. It’s called tithe.”

Carson progressed, seemingly comparing the tithe, at least in principal, to the flat tax system. Rather than continuing to tier taxes owed, he argued that everyone should pay the same proportion and that, through this fairer system, money sent by wealthier Americans for protection abroad should be brought back to America to help build infrastructure and create additional jobs.

The doctor also addressed medical care, advocating for health savings accounts and railing against the notion that Americans should be sending money into a large bureaucratic system.

UPDATE: Dr. Carson Reveals Why He Spoke Out Against Obama’s Policies at Prayer Breakfast — Plus, Would He Ever Run for President? (More in the next Newsletter...)

Now on another subject - We have discussed “Gun Laws” a great deal. I am proud to announce that our Kansas Legislature has developed a bill:

House Bill No. 2199 labeled: AN ACT enacting the second amendment protection act.

You can find it on your computer or your local library computer. Read it!!! (If you can’t do that, trust me and take my word for it.) Then email, call or write your Representative and Senator requesting/demanding them to put it into law. It is one of the most important bills they will pass this session. This bill will keep the Federal Government from controlling Kansas in many different, but related areas. I/we are proud of what they have put together. It is up to us to encourage/praise them for their hard work and to finish it up by passing it onto law - a much needed law!! If you need our help, we are here. Several of us have been going to the State House to visit our Legislator/Senators and sit in on some of the hearings concerning bills on the agenda. It is most interesting and if you can do that you really should. Contact us and we will try to inform you of what is coming up. You can pick up copies of coming bills to read. Legislative Session is very interesting and educational. We encourage you to attend some of the hearings whenever you can.